arXiv:hep-th/9701155v3 23 Apr 1997

TIT/HEP–358
`hep-th/9701155`
January, 1997

Duality in Supersymmetric $SU(N)$ Gauge Theory with a Symmetric Tensor

Tadakatsu Sakai*

Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
Oh-okayama, Meguro, Tokyo 152, Japan

Abstract

Duality in supersymmetric $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a symmetric tensor is studied using the technique of deconfining and Seiberg's duality. By construction the gauge group of the dual theory necessarily becomes a product group. In order to check the duality, several nontrivial consistency conditions are examined. In particular we find that by deforming along a flat direction, the duality flows to the Seiberg's duality of $SO(N)$ gauge theory.

PACS numbers: 11.15.$-$q, 11.15.Tk, 11.30.Pb
Key words: supersymmetric gauge theory, duality

---

* e-mail: `tsakai@th.phys.titech.ac.jp`

Recent progress in supersymmetric gauge theories made it possible to argue non-perturbative aspects of them [1] [2] (for a review, see [3]). In particular, Seiberg has shown [4] that SUSY gauge theories have a dual description in terms of a SUSY gauge theory with a different gauge group. For example, $SU(N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors is dual to $SU(N_f - N_c)$ gauge theory with N_f flavors. Although both theories have different gauge groups, global symmetries of the two theories are the same and they satisfy several nontrivial consistency checks on the duality. Duality in SUSY gauge theories is also studied in [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15].

Duality in SUSY gauge theories with tensor matters is extensively investigated. One way to do it is to use the technique of deconfining [10]. That is, a tensor matter is considered to be a bound state of a confining gauge theory. The dual description of an original theory can be obtained by dualizing the deconfined theory instead of the original theory. One of the merits of the deconfining technique is that one can construct dual theories by using Seiberg's duality. This is because all the matter fields of the deconfined theory belong only to the fundamental representation of the gauge group. The gauge group of the deconfined theory is necessarily a product group, and thus the dual theory also has a product gauge group, even though the original theory has a single gauge group.

In this letter we consider duality in $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a symmetric matter. We first discuss the non-perturbative superpotential and the quantum moduli space. Using holomorphy and symmetry, it turns out that no superpotential is dynamically generated, and at the origin of the moduli space the theory is in non-abelian Coulomb phase. This indicates that the $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a symmetric tensor has a dual description. Next we construct the deconfined theory. It is argued that the original theory can be deconfined by introducing an $SO(N+5)$ gauge group. Then we use Seiberg's duality to obtain the dual theory. Note that by virtue of Seiberg's duality, global symmetries of the dual theory are the same as those of the original theory, and the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are trivially satisfied. The mappings of the gauge invariant operators between the two theories are easy to establish. It is also argued that by dualizing the dual theory again, we can obtain another dual theory which has different matter contents while having the same gauge group. As a consistency check on the duality, we turn on a vacuum expectation value in the original theory. We find that the dual theory is properly deformed as the vev's are turned on in the original theory. In particular we show that the duality in $SU(N)$ gauge theory with a symmetric matter flows to the Seiberg's duality in $SO(N_c)$ gauge theory by deforming it along a flat direction.

We start with the theory;

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & SU(N) & SU(F) & SU(N+F+4) & U(1)_Q & U(1)_{\bar{Q}} & U(1)_S & U(1)_X \\ Q^{xA} & \square & \square & \mathbf{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{Q}^l_A & \square^* & \mathbf{1} & \square & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ S^{AB} & \square\!\square & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \qquad (1)$$

where $A, B = 1, 2, \cdots, N,\quad x = 1, 2, \cdots, F$ and $l = 1, 2, \cdots, N+F+4$. We refer to this as the electric theory. All the global $U(1)$'s are anomalous, and can be used as selection rules by assigning appropriate charges to the dynamically generated scale $\Lambda_{SU(N)}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & U(1)_Q & U(1)_{\bar{Q}} & U(1)_S & U(1)_X \\ \Lambda^b_{SU(N)} & F & N+F+4 & N+2 & -2(F+3) \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $b = 2N - F - 3$ is the coefficient of the 1-loop beta function.

From the four anomalous $U(1)$'s, three anomaly free $U(1)$'s can be constructed. One of them is R-symmetry. Here we take the following charge assignments

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & U(1)_1 & U(1)_2 & U(1)_R \\ Q & 1 & N-F & \frac{2(F+3)}{F} \\ \bar{Q} & \frac{-F}{N+F+4} & F & 0 \\ S & 0 & -2F & 0 \end{array} \tag{3}$$

Using holomorphy and symmetry, it is easy to see that no superpotential can be generated for all F. Thus the flat directions are left quantum mechanically. It is useful to describe the flat directions in terms of gauge invariant operators, some of which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &M = Q\bar{Q}, \quad H = S\bar{Q}\bar{Q}, \quad U = \det S, \\ &\bar{B} = \epsilon\ \bar{Q}^N, \quad V_k = \epsilon\ Q^k (S\bar{Q})^{N-k}, \ \ \text{for } k \leq F, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where ϵ is the epsilon tensor of the $SU(N)$. All the gauge invariant operators are not independent and satisfy some constraint equations. Note that the classical constraints hold quantum mechanically, because the $U(1)_X$ charge of the dynamical scale $\Lambda_{SU(N)}$ is always nonzero. Thus the quantum moduli space is the same as the classical one, so that the singularity at the origin of the classical moduli space cannot be smoothed out. In order to discuss the physical meaning of the singularity, consider the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions. It turns out that the singularity cannot be attributed to the appearance of singlet fields. This implies that our model is in non abelian Coulomb phase for $F \leq 2N - 3$, which is expected to have a dual description.

As discussed above, our strategy to find the duality is to construct the deconfined theory of (1) by using the technique of deconfining [10]. We first consider $SO(N+5)$ SUSY gauge theory with $N+1$ fundamentals y^A and z, and $N+1$ singlets $\bar{P}_A$ and u, where $A = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. Here we assume this theory has the superpotential at the tree level

$$W = yz\bar{P} + z^2 u. \tag{5}$$

According to the result of [6], there is a branch of the moduli space in which no superpotential is generated, confinement occurs and the massless fields at the origin of the branch are given by the mesons

$$S^{AB} = y^A y^B, \quad P^A = y^A z, \quad R = z^2. \tag{6}$$

The superpotential (5), however, makes massive the singlet fields $P^A, R, \bar{P}_A$ and u, so that the massless field is only S^{AB}. Now gauge the global $SU(N)$ symmetry weakly. This means that the dynamical scales of the two gauge groups satisfy $\Lambda_{SU(N)} \ll \Lambda_{SO(N+5)}$. In order for the $SU(N)$ to be anomaly free, we must introduce F fundamentals Q^{xA}, and $N+F+4$ anti-fundamentals $\bar{Q}^l_A$. This is indeed the electric theory (1). We refer to it as the expanded theory. It follows from (5) and (6) that the $U(1)$ charges of the fields are fixed as follows

	$SU(N)$	$SO(N+5)$	$SU(F)$	$SU(N+F+4)$	$U(1)_1$	$U(1)_2$	$U(1)_R$
y_i^A	$\Box$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$-F$	0
z_i	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	NF	-2
$\bar{P}_A$	$\Box^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$-F(N-1)$	4
u	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$-2NF$	6
Q^{xA}	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	$N-F$	$\frac{2(F+3)}{F}$
$\bar{Q}^l_A$	$\Box^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\frac{-F}{N+F+4}$	F	0

(7)

Let us now derive the dual description of the electric theory from the expanded theory. For convenience, we shall consider the case $\Lambda_{SO(N+5)} \ll \Lambda_{SU(N)}$. At the scale $\Lambda_{SU(N)}$, the expanded theory can be considered to be $SU(N)$ SUSY gauge theory with $N+F+5$ flavors. Note that this theory allows a dual description for all F. Following [4], consider the meson fields

$$\begin{aligned} M^{xl} &= Q^{xA}\bar{Q}^l_A, \quad N^x = Q^{xA}\bar{P}_A, \\ C^{li} &= y^{iA}\bar{Q}^l_A, \quad D^i = y^{iA}\bar{P}_A. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Dual quarks which couple to the mesons are also introduced. Note that the superpotential (5) makes D^i and z massive. Integrating out these fields, we obtain the dual theory:

	$SU(F+5)$	$SO(N+5)$	$SU(F)$	$SU(N+F+4)$	$U(1)_1$	$U(1)_2$	$U(1)_R$
$\bar{q}_{xA}$	$\Box^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{-5}{F+5}$	$-N$	$-\frac{10(F+3)}{F(F+5)}$
$\bar{x}_{iA}$	$\Box^*$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{F}{F+5}$	0	$\frac{2(F+3)}{F+5}$
q_l^A	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box^*$	$\frac{-F(N-1)}{(F+5)(N+F+4)}$	0	$\frac{4}{F+5}$
p^A	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{-F}{F+5}$	NF	$-\frac{4(F+4)}{F+5}$
u	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$-2NF$	6
M^{xl}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\Box$	$\frac{N+4}{N+F+4}$	N	$\frac{2(F+3)}{F}$
N^x	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	$-N(F-1)$	$\frac{6(F+1)}{F}$
C^{li}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\Box$	$\frac{-F}{N+F+4}$	0	0

(9)

The superpotential is given by

$$W = Mq\bar{q} + Np\bar{q} + Cq\bar{x} + (p\bar{x})^2 u. \tag{10}$$

We refer to this theory as the dual I theory. Note that the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are satisfied.

At the scale $\Lambda_{SO(N+5)}$, the dual I theory can be considered as $SO(N+5)$ gauge theory with $N+2F+9$ flavors, because the $SU(F+5)$ gauge coupling is much weaker than that of the

$SO(N+5)$. Thus we can dualize it by using the duality of Seiberg. Following the procedures of [4], consider the meson fields

$$\bar{S}_{AB} = \bar{x}_{iA}\bar{x}_{iB}, \quad H^{lm} = C^{il}C^{im}, \quad I^l_A = \bar{x}_{iA}C^{li}. \tag{11}$$

We must also introduce dual quarks which couple to the mesons. Note that q and I become massive due to the superpotential (10). Integrating them out, the dual theory is

	$SU(F+5)$	$SO(2F+8)$	$SU(F)$	$SU(N+F+4)$	$U(1)_1$	$U(1)_2$	$U(1)_R$
$\bar{q}_{xA}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{-5}{F+5}$	$-N$	$-\frac{10(F+3)}{F(F+5)}$
p^A	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{-F}{F+5}$	NF	$-\frac{4(F+4)}{F+5}$
u	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	$-2NF$	6
M^{xl}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\square$	$\frac{N+4}{N+F+4}$	N	$\frac{2(F+3)}{F}$
N^x	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	1	$-N(F-1)$	$\frac{6(F+1)}{F}$
x^A_i	$\square$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{-F}{F+5}$	0	$-\frac{F+1}{F+5}$
$\bar{C}_{li}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$	$\frac{F}{N+F+4}$	0	1
$\bar{S}_{AB}$	$\square\!\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\frac{2F}{F+5}$	0	$\frac{4(F+3)}{F+5}$
H^{lm}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square\!\square$	$\frac{-2F}{N+F+4}$	0	0

(12)

with the superpotential

$$W = Mx\bar{C}\bar{q} + Np\bar{q} + \bar{S}p^2u + \bar{S}x^2 + H\bar{C}^2. \tag{13}$$

We refer to this as the dual II theory. One can see that the 't Hooft anomaly matching conditions are satisfied.

Notice that we found the duality between the expanded and the dual II theory only for $\Lambda_{SO(N+5)} \ll \Lambda_{SU(N)}$. Assuming holomorphy for $\Lambda_{SU(N)}/\Lambda_{SO(N+5)}$, however, the duality is valid for all $\Lambda_{SU(N)}/\Lambda_{SO(N+5)}$ (see also [11]). Noting that the expanded theory (7) is equivalent to the electric theory (1) for $\Lambda_{SU(N)} \ll \Lambda_{SO(N+5)}$, the dual II theory (12) turns out to be the dual of the electric theory.

It is found that the gauge invariant operators (4) are mapped to the dual II theory in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
U \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \epsilon^{A_1\cdots A_{F+5}}\epsilon^{B_1\cdots B_{F+5}}\epsilon^{x_1\cdots x_F}\epsilon^{y_1\cdots y_F}\bar{q}_{x_1A_1}\cdots\bar{q}_{x_FA_F}\bar{q}_{y_1B_1}\cdots\bar{q}_{y_FB_F} \\
&\qquad \times\bar{S}_{A_{F+1}B_{F+1}}\cdots\bar{S}_{A_{F+5}B_{F+5}}, \\
\bar{B}^{\,[l_1\cdots l_N]} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \epsilon_{A_1\cdots A_{F+5}}\left(x^{A_1}_{i_1}\bar{C}_{l_1i_1}\right)\cdots\left(x^{A_{F+4}}_{i_{F+4}}\bar{C}_{l_{F+4}i_{F+4}}\right)p^{A_{F+5}}, \\
V_k^{\,[x_1\cdots x_k][l_1\cdots l_{N-k}]} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \tilde{b}^{\,[A_1\cdots A_{F-k}]}_{\,[l_1\cdots l_{F+4+k}]}\bar{q}_{x_1A_1}\cdots\bar{q}_{x_{F-k}A_{F-k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $\tilde{b}$ is the $SO(2F+8)$-invariant operator given by

$$\tilde{b}^{\,[A_1\cdots A_{F-k}]}_{\,[l_1\cdots l_{F+4+k}]} = \epsilon_{i_1\cdots i_{2F+8}}x^{A_1}_{i_1}\cdots x^{A_{F-k}}_{i_{F-k}}\bar{C}_{l_1i_{F+1-k}}\cdots\bar{C}_{l_{k+F+4}i_{2F+4}}W_{i_{2F+5}i_{2F+6}}W_{i_{2F+7}i_{2F+8}}. \tag{15}$$

W is the $SO(2F+8)$ field strength superfield.

We have derived the dual theory (12) by using the technique of deconfining and the Seiberg's duality naively. We can, however, obtain another dual theory by dualizing (12) again. We first think of the dual II theory (12) as $SU(F+5)$ gauge theory with a symmetric tensor. Then it can be dualized following the procedures we have discussed. The gauge group of the dual theory is $SU(F+5) \times SO(2F+8)_1 \times SO(2F+8)_2$, where $SO(2F+8)_1$ is the gauge group of the dual II theory and $SO(2F+8)_2$ results from dualizing the $SU(F+5)$. The vector index of the $SO(2F+8)_2$ is denoted by i'. It is easy to see that the dual of the dual II theory has the following matter contents:

	$SU(F+5)$	$SO(2F+8)_1$	$SO(2F+8)_2$	$SU(F)$	$SU(N+F+4)$
u	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
M^{xl}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\square$
N^x	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\bar{C}_{li}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$
H^{lm}	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square\!\square$
$\tilde{M}_x$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{M}_{xi}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{H}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{H}_i$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{H}_{ij}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square\!\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{C}_{i'}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{C}_{ii'}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{S}^{AB}$	$\square\!\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{x}_{i'A}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{p}_A$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{u}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{N}_x$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square^*$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\tilde{q}^{xA}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$

(16)

with the superpotential

$$\begin{aligned} W = {} & M^{xl}\bar{C}_{li}\tilde{M}_{xi} + N^x\tilde{M}_x + \tilde{H}u + \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{H}_{ij}) + H^{lm}\bar{C}_{li}\bar{C}_{mi} \\ & +\tilde{M}_x\tilde{x}_{i'A}\tilde{C}_{i'}\tilde{q}^{xA} + \tilde{M}_{xi}\tilde{x}_{i'A}\tilde{C}_{ii'}\tilde{q}^{xA} + \tilde{N}_x\tilde{p}_A\tilde{q}^{xA} + \tilde{S}^{AB}\tilde{p}_A\tilde{p}_B\tilde{u} + \tilde{S}^{AB}\tilde{x}_{i'A}\tilde{x}_{i'B} \\ & +\tilde{H}\tilde{C}_{i'}\tilde{C}_{i'} + \tilde{H}_i\tilde{C}_{ii'}\tilde{C}_{i'} + \tilde{H}_{ij}\tilde{C}_{ii'}\tilde{C}_{ji'}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here we define the gauge invariant fields as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{M}_x = p^A\bar{q}_{xA}, \quad \tilde{M}_{xi} = x_i^A\bar{q}_{xA}, \\ & \tilde{H} = \bar{S}_{AB}p^Ap^B, \quad \tilde{H}_i = \bar{S}_{AB}p^Ax_i^A, \quad \tilde{H}_{ij} = \bar{S}_{AB}x_i^Ax_i^B. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From (17), $N^x, \tilde{M}_x, \tilde{H}$ and u become massive, and hence can be integrated out. Using the equation of motion for $\tilde{H}_{ij}$, we find that the field $\tilde{C}$ get a vev, so that the dual theory (16) is higgsed to

$SU(F{+}5)\times SO(2F{+}8)$, where $SO(2F{+}8)$ is the diagonal subgroup of $SO(2F{+}8)_1\times SO(2F{+}8)_2$. The vev makes $\tilde{H}_{ij}$, $\tilde{H}_i$, and $\tilde{C}_{i'}$ massive, and thus they can be integrated out. As a consequence, (16) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & SU(F+5) & SO(2F+8) & SU(F) & SU(N+F+4) \\
M^{xl} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \square & \square \\
\bar{C}_{li} & \mathbf{1} & \square & \mathbf{1} & \square^* \\
H^{lm} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \square\!\square \\
\tilde{M}_{xi} & \mathbf{1} & \square & \square^* & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{S}^{AB} & \square\!\square & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{x}_{iA} & \square^* & \square & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{p}_A & \square^* & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{u} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{N}_x & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \square^* & \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{q}^{xA} & \square & \mathbf{1} & \square & \mathbf{1}
\end{array} \tag{19}$$

with the superpotential

$$W = M^{xl}\bar{C}_{li}\tilde{M}_{xi} + \tilde{M}_{xi}\tilde{x}_{iA}\tilde{q}^{xA} + \tilde{N}_x\tilde{p}_A\tilde{q}^{xA} + \tilde{S}^{AB}\tilde{p}_A\tilde{p}_B\tilde{u} + \tilde{S}^{AB}\tilde{x}_{iA}\tilde{x}_{iB} + H^{lm}\bar{C}_{li}\bar{C}_{mi}, \tag{20}$$

where i is the vector index of the diagonal subgroup $SO(2F+8)$. Note that only in the case $F=0$, the dual (19) is exactly the same as the dual II theory (12).

In order to check the duality, turn on a vacuum expectation value in the electric theory. The moduli space of the electric theory is determined by the D-term conditions

$$Q^*_{xA}Q^{xB} - \bar{Q}^l_A\bar{Q}^{*B}_l + S^*_{AC}S^{CB} = c\delta^B_A, \tag{21}$$

where c is a constant. We turn on vev's for Q and $\bar{Q}$ in the way

$$Q^{xA} = 0, \quad \bar{Q}^l_A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} \bar{a}_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \bar{a}_r & \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \end{array}\right). \tag{22}$$

The vev's of S^{AB} are fixed by the D-term conditions. When $c=0$, the electric theory is higgsed to $SU(N-r)$ and its massless field contents are the F fundamentals $Q^{x\hat{A}}$, the $N+F+4-r$ anti-fundamentals $\bar{Q}^{\hat{l}}_{\hat{A}}$, and a symmetric tensor $S^{\hat{A}\hat{B}}$, where $\hat{A}=r+1,\cdots,N$, and $\hat{l}=r+1,\cdots,N+F+4$. Note also that H^{lm} gets a vev of rank r and the other gauge invariant operators take vanishing values. Turning to the dual II theory, one can see from the superpotential (13) that $\bar{C}_{li},\quad l=1,\cdots,r$ become massive because H^{lm} has a vev of rank r. Integrating out the massive fields, the symmetries of the dual II theory are broken as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & SU(F+5)\times SO(2F+8)\times SU(F)\times SU(N+F+4) \\ & \to SU(F+5)\times SO(2F+8)\times SU(F)\times SU(N+F+4-r). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

This is indeed the dual of the electric theory.

Let us now consider the case $c \neq 0$. The electric theory is higgsed to $SO(N-r)$, and its contents of massless fields are $N+2F+4-r$ vectors. As in the case $c=0$, H^{lm} has a vev of rank r. One of the most important differences is that the gauge invariant operator $U = \det S$ takes a non-vanishing value. Turning to the dual II theory, it follows from (14) that the fields $\bar{q}_{xA}$ and $\bar{S}_{AB}$ get vev's so that the gauge group $SU(F+5)$ is higgsed to $SO(5)$ while $SO(2F+8)$ is unbroken. Up to gauge and flavor transformations, the vev's are given by

$$\bar{q}_{xA} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} b & & & \\ & \ddots & & 00000 \\ & & b & \end{array}\right), \quad \bar{S}_{AB} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{0}_{F\times F} & \\ \hline & b\mathbf{1}_{5\times 5} \end{array}\right), \tag{24}$$

where b is written in terms of $\bar{a}_i$ and c. Substituting them into the superpotential (13) and integrating out the massive fields, the dual II theory reduces to the supersymmetric $SO(5) \times SO(2F+8)$ gauge theory with the following matter contents

	$SO(5)$	$SO(2F+8)$	$SU(N+2F+4-r)$
$\bar{q}_{\mu i}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\square^*$
$M^{\mu\nu}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square\square$
p^A	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$
u	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$

(25)

with the superpotential

$$W = p^A p^A u + M^{\mu\nu} q_{\mu i} q_{\nu i}, \tag{26}$$

where $SO(2F+8)$ vector fields $q_{\mu i}$, $\mu = 1, 2, \cdots, N+2F+4-r$ and the meson fields $M^{\mu\nu} = M^{\nu\mu}$ are given by

$$q_{\mu i} = (x_i^{A'}, \bar{C}_{\hat{l}i}), \quad M^{\mu\nu} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \bar{S}_{A'B'} & M^{x\hat{l}} \\ \hline \left(M^{x\hat{l}}\right)^T & H^{\hat{l}\hat{m}} \end{array}\right), \tag{27}$$

where $A', B' = 1, 2, \cdots, F$. Note that (25) can be regarded as supersymmetric $SO(5)$ gauge theory with one flavor. It follows from [6] that the exact superpotential of (25) is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_L + \epsilon_R)\frac{4\Lambda^4}{\sqrt{M}} + Mu + M^{\mu\nu}\bar{q}_{\mu i}\bar{q}_{\nu i}. \tag{28}$$

Here $\epsilon_{L,R} = \pm 1$, $M = p^A p^A$ and Λ is the dynamical scale of the $SO(5)$ SUSY gauge theory. Depending on $\epsilon_L \epsilon_R = \pm 1$, the moduli space consists of two branches. For $\epsilon_L \epsilon_R = 1$, it is obvious that no SUSY vacuum exists. On the other hand, for $\epsilon_L \epsilon_R = -1$, no dynamical superpotential can be generated so that M and u become massive. Thus the relation between the deformed electric and dual II theories is indeed the duality found by Seiberg [4].

Finally we study the duality by adding $\lambda_{lm} S^{AB} \bar{Q}^l_A \bar{Q}^m_B, \quad \lambda = \lambda^T$, to the superpotential. For convenience, we assume that λ_{lm} takes the following form

$$\lambda_{lm} = \mathrm{diag}(\underbrace{\lambda, \cdots, \lambda}_{k}, \underbrace{0, \cdots, 0}_{N+F+4-k}). \tag{29}$$

In the electric theory, there exists a branch of the moduli space parameterized by

$$Q = \bar{Q} = 0, \quad S^{AB} \propto \delta^{AB}. \tag{30}$$

By deforming the electric theory along the flat direction, the electric theory flows to $SO(N)$ SUSY gauge theory with $N + 2F + 4 - k$ flavors. On the other hand, the superpotential of the dual II theory is given by

$$W = Mx\bar{C}\bar{q} + Np\bar{q} + \bar{S}p^2 u + \bar{S}x^2 + H\bar{C}^2 + \lambda \sum_{l=1}^{k} H^{ll}. \tag{31}$$

Recall that we are considering the branch $U \neq 0$. This means that $\bar{q}$ and $\bar{S}$ take the same form of vev's as (24). Using the F-term condition for H^{lm}, $\bar{C}$ is found to take a vev which breaks the gauge group $SO(2F+8)$ to $SO(2F+8-k)$. Expanding the dual II theory around the vacuum and integrating out massive fields, it is found that the dual II theory reduces to:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & SO(5) & SO(2F+8-k) & SU(N+2F+4-k) \\ \bar{q}_{\mu\hat{i}} & \mathbf{1} & \Box & \Box^* \\ M^{\mu\nu} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \Box\Box \\ p^A & \Box & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\ u & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{array} \tag{32}$$

with the superpotential

$$W = p^A p^A u + M^{\mu\nu} \bar{q}_{\mu\hat{i}} \bar{q}_{\nu\hat{i}}. \tag{33}$$

Here we denote the vector index of the $SO(2F+8-k)$ by $\hat{i}$. From the same discussions as those in (25), we can see that (32) flows to the dual of the deformed electric theory.

It is a pleasure to thank Prof. N. Sakai for a useful discussion. The author also thanks Christian Baraldo for careful reading of the manuscript.

References

[1] N. Seiberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D49** (1994) 6857, `hep-th/9402044`.

[2] K. Intriligator, R. G. Leigh and N. Seiberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 1092, `hep-th/9403198`; K. Intriligator, *Phys. Lett.* **B336** (1994) 409, `hep-th/9407106`.

[3] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Lectures on Supersymmetric Gauge Theories and Electric-Magnetic Duality*, `hep-th/9509066`.

[4] N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B435** (1995) 129, `hep-th/9411149`.

[5] D. Kutasov, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 230, `hep-th/9503086`; D. Kutasov and A. Schwimmer, *Phys. Lett.* **B354** (1995) 315, `hep-th/9505004`; D. Kutasov, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B459** (1996) 445, `hep-th/9510222`.

[6] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B444** (1995) 125, `hep-th/9503179`.

[7] K. Intriligator and P. Pouliot, *Phys. Lett.* **B353** (1995) 471, `hep-th/9505005`.

[8] K. Intriligator, *Nucl. Phys.* **B448** (1995) 187, `hep-th/9505051`; R. G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, *Phys. Lett.* **B356** (1995) 492, `hep-th/9505088`; K. Intriligator, R. G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, *Nucl. Phys.* **B456** (1995) 567, `hep-th/9506148`.

[9] P. Pouliot, *Phys. Lett.* **B359** (1995) 108, `hep-th/9507018`; P. Pouliot and M. J. Strassler, *Phys. Lett.* **B370** (1996) 76, `hep-th/9510228`; P. Pouliot and M. J. Strassler, *Phys. Lett.* **B375** (1996) 175, `hep-th/9602031`; T. Kawano, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **95** (1996) 963, `hep-th/9602035`.

[10] M. Berkooz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B452** (1995) 513, `hep-th/9505067`; P. Pouliot, *Phys. Lett.* **B367** (1996) 151, `hep-th/9510148`; M. A. Luty, M. Schmaltz and J. Terning, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 7815, `hep-th/9603034`.

[11] E. Poppitz, Y. Shadmi and S.P. Trievi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B480** (1996) 125, `hep-th/9605113`, *Phys. Lett.* **B388** (1996) 561, `hep-th/9606184`.

[12] I. Pesando, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10** (1995) 1871, `hep-th/9506139`; S. B. Giddings and J. M. Pierre, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 6065, `hep-th/9509196`; P. Ramond, `hep-th/9608077`; J. Distler and A. Karch, `hep-th/9611088`.

[13] O. Aharony, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 220, `hep-th/9502013`; O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B449** (1995) 509, `hep-th/9504113`.

[14] R. G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, *Nucl. Phys.* **B447** (1995) 95, `hep-th/9503121`.

[15] N. Maru and S. Kitakado, `hep-th/9609230`; J. H. Brodie and M. J. Strassler, `hep-th/9611197`.